CHARACTERISTIC COHOMOLOGY I: SINGULARITIES OF GIVEN TYPE

JAMES DAMON

ABSTRACT. For a germ of a variety $\mathcal{V}, 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^N, 0$, a singularity \mathcal{V}_0 of "type \mathcal{V} " is given by a germ $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ which is transverse to \mathcal{V} in an appropriate sense so that $\mathcal{V}_0 = f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. If \mathcal{V} is a hypersurface germ, then so is \mathcal{V}_0 , and by transversality codim_Csing(\mathcal{V}_0) = codim_Csing(\mathcal{V}) provided $n > \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{V})$. So $\mathcal{V}_0, 0$ will exhibit singularities of \mathcal{V} up to codimension n.

For singularities \mathcal{V}_0 , 0 of type \mathcal{V} , we introduce a method to capture the contribution of the topology of \mathcal{V} to that of \mathcal{V}_0 . It is via the "characteristic cohomology" of the Milnor fiber (for $\mathcal{V}, 0$ a hypersurface), and complement and link of \mathcal{V}_0 (in the general case). The characteristic cohomology of the Milnor fiber $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$, respectively of the complement $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$, are subalgebras of the cohomology of the Milnor fibers, respectively the complement, with coefficients R in the corresponding cohomology. For a fixed \mathcal{V} , they are functorial over the category of singularities of type \mathcal{V} . In addition, for the link of \mathcal{V}_0 there is a characteristic cohomology subgroup $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbf{k})$ of the cohomology of the link over a field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0. The cohomologies $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbf{k})$ are shown to be invariant under the $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -equivalence of defining germs f_0 , and likewise $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ is shown to be invariant under the $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -equivalence of f_0 for H the defining equation of $\mathcal{V}, 0$.

We give a geometric criterion involving "vanishing compact models" for both the Milnor fibers and complements which detect nonvanishing subalgebras of the characteristic cohomologies, and subgroups of the characteristic cohomology of the link. Also, we consider how in the hypersurface case the cohomology of the Milnor fiber as a module over the characteristic cohomology $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$. We briefly consider the application of these results to a number of cases of singularities of a given type. In part II we specialize to the case of matrix singularities and using results on the topology of the Milnor fibers, complements and links of the varieties of singular matrices obtained in another paper allow us to give precise results for the characteristic cohomology of all three types.

Preliminary Version

INTRODUCTION

For a germ of a hypersurface $\mathcal{V}_0, 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n, 0$ with a nonisolated singularity, a result of Kato-Masumoto [KMs] states that the connectivity of the Milnor fiber may decrease by $r = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{V}_0)$. Thus, it may have nonzero (co)homology

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11S90, 32S25, 55R80 Secondary: 57T15, 14M12, 20G05.

Key words and phrases. singularities of given type, characteristic cohomology of Milnor fibers, complements, links, invariance under $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and \mathcal{K}_{H} -equivalence, detecting nonvanishing characteristic cohomology, vanishing compact models, matrix singularities, discriminants, bifurcation sets, hypersurface arrangements, exceptional orbit hypersurfaces.

 $H^{j}(\mathcal{V}_{0})$ in dimension $n-1-r \leq j \leq n-1$. For very low dimensional singular sets of dimension ≤ 2 , with special forms for $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{V}_{0})$ and the transverse types of the defining equation f_{0} on $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{V}_{0})$, the work of Siersma and coworkers Pellikan, Tibar, Nemethi, Zaharia, Van Straten, etc., have determined the topological structure of the Milnor fibers (see e.g. the survey [Si]). However, very little is known about the topology for hypersurfaces with higher dimensional singular sets. We consider in this paper how we may introduce in such a situation more information about the topology of a singularity \mathcal{V}_{0} , which is based on a "universal singularity" \mathcal{V} , even when it is highly nonisolated. This will be done by identifying how topological properties of \mathcal{V} are inherited by \mathcal{V}_{0} .

We give a general formulation for the category of singularities \mathcal{V}_0 of "type \mathcal{V} " for a fixed germ of a variety $\mathcal{V}, 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ defined as $\mathcal{V}_0 = f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ for a germ $f_0: \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ (which for a subcategory is transverse to \mathcal{V} in an appropriate sense). If \mathcal{V} is a hypersurface germ, then so is \mathcal{V}_0 . If $\mathcal{V}, 0$ is a highly singular germ and $n > \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{V})$, then by transversality, $\mathcal{V}_0, 0$ will also exhibit singularities of \mathcal{V} up to codimension n, and hence also in general be highly singular. Nonetheless we define the characteristic cohomology for the Milnor fiber (for the hypersurface case), and the complement and link of \mathcal{V}_0 (in the general case).

The "characteristic cohomology algebra" of the Milnor fiber of \mathcal{V}_0 is defined as $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R) = \tilde{f_0}^*(H^*(F_w; R), \text{ for } \tilde{f_0}; \mathcal{V}_w \to F_w$ the induced map of Milnor fibers. Likewise, the "characteristic cohomology algebra" of the link is defined to be $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R) = f_0^*(H^*(\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathcal{V}; R))$ (which is understood in the sense of local cohomology). Both of these are shown to be well-defined and functorial over the category of singularities of type \mathcal{V} for a fixed singularity \mathcal{V} . For a field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0, the "characteristic cohomology (subspace)" of the link, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k})$ is defined to be the Alexander dual of the Kronecker dual of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k})$. It is not functorial, but is natural with respect to a relative form of the Gysin homomorphism.

We show that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ is invariant, up to an algebra isomorphism of the cohomology of the Milnor fiber, under \mathcal{K}_H -equivalence of f_0 (i.e. \mathcal{K} -equivalence of f_0 preserving the defining equation H of \mathcal{V} , see e.g. [DM]). Also, both $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k})$ are invariant under $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -equivalence of f_0 , up to an algebra isomorphism of the cohomology of the complement, resp. the isomorphism of the cohomology group of the link. This will allow us to give a structural form for the cohomology of the Milnor fiber (in the hypersurface case) and of the complement (for general \mathcal{V}), as modules over corresponding "characteristic subalgebras". Furthermore, we give results about the exact form of these characteristic subalgebras.

In Part II [D6], we will give results for categories of matrix singularities where \mathcal{V} denotes any of the varieties of singular $m \times m$ complex matrices which may be either general, symmetric or skew-symmetric (with m even) and for $m \times p$ matrices with $m \neq p$. These give rise to "matrix singularities" \mathcal{V}_0 of any of the corresponding types. For matrix singularities the characteristic cohomology will give the analogue of characteristic classes for vector bundles.

In §4 we begin to investigate for hypersurface singularities how the cohomology of the Milnor fiber can be understood as a module over the characteristic cohomology subalgebra and the role that the topology of the singular Milnor fiber plays. This is further considered for examples in §5.

Lastly, we consider in §5 a number of general classes of nonisolated complex singularities which are of a given "universal type". These include discriminants

of finitely determined (holomorphic) map germs; bifurcation sets for \mathcal{G} -equivalence where \mathcal{G} is a geometric subgroup of \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{K} in the holomorphic category; generic hyperplane or hypersurface arrangements based on special central complex hyperplane arrangements, and determinantal arrangements arising from exceptional orbit varieties of prehomogeneous spaces (which includes matrix singularities). We consider how specific results for these examples reveal the role that characteristic cohomology is playing for these cases.

CONTENTS

- (1) Characteristic Cohomology of Singularities of type \mathcal{V}
- (2) \mathcal{K}_H and \mathcal{K}_V Invariance of Characteristic Cohomology
- (3) Detecting the Nonvanishing of Characteristic Cohomology
- (4) Module Structure for the Cohomology of Milnor Fibers of Matrix Singularities
- (5) Detecting Characteristic Cohomology for Various General Cases

1. Characteristic Cohomology of Singularities of type $\mathcal V$

We begin by considering singularities arising as nonlinear sections of some given "universal" singularity \mathcal{V} , 0. There are many fundamental examples of such universal singularities which are, in particular, hypersurface singularities including: reflection hyperplane arrangements, discriminants of stable map germs, bifurcation sets for the \mathcal{G} -versal unfoldings of germs for many different singularity equivalence groups \mathcal{G} which are "geometric subgroups of \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{K} " (see e.g. [D2] and papers cited therein), exceptional orbit hypersurfaces of prehomogeneous spaces [D4] which include both reductive groups, e.g. [BM], and solvable groups [DP2], [DP3], as well as specifically the varieties of singular $m \times m$ matrices which may be general, symmetric, or skew-symmetric (if m is even). There are also other classes of universal singularities which are not hypersurface singularities, such as bifurcation sets for certain \mathcal{G} -versal unfoldings and varieties of singular $m \times p$ matrices with $m \neq p$. The results for complements and links will also be applicable to the non-hypersurface cases.

Category of Singularities of Type \mathcal{V} .

We recall from [D5] that given a germ of an analytic set $\mathcal{V}, 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^N, 0, a$ "nonlinear section" is given by a germ of a holomorphic map $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ (so that $f_0(\mathbb{C}^n) \not\subset \mathcal{V}$), where *n* may take any value (including allowing n > N). The associated singularity of type \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{V}_0 = f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$.

We consider the category of singularities of type \mathcal{V} . The objects are the singularities of type \mathcal{V} . Given two singularities of type \mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V}_0 defined by $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$

and \mathcal{W}_0 defined by $g_0 : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$, a morphism $\psi : \mathcal{W}_0, 0 \to \mathcal{V}_0, 0$ is given by a germ $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^n, 0$ such that $g_0 = f_0 \circ \tilde{\psi}$. Such singularities of type \mathcal{V} and the corresponding morphisms between them give a category on which we will define the characteristic cohomology.

The basic equivalence for studying the ambient equivalence of such \mathcal{V}_0 is $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ equivalence of the germs f_0 , which is a form of \mathcal{K} -equivalence which preserves \mathcal{V} , see e.g. [D5] or [D2]. This equivalence applied to an $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ can be viewed as the action on the section $graph(f_0) : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ of the trivial vector bundle on \mathbb{C}^n with fiber \mathbb{C}^N . It acts via diffeomorphisms of the fibers preserving each copy of \mathcal{V} and which holomorphically varies pointwise on $\mathbb{C}^n, 0$ composed with a local diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^n, 0$. As such it is a type of gauge group.

We also consider the defining equation $H : \mathbb{C}^N, 0 \to \mathbb{C}, 0$ for \mathcal{V} . There is a stronger \mathcal{K}_H -equivalence within \mathcal{K}_V -equivalence (see [DM] and [D1]) where the diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^N, (0,0)$ preserve the defining map germ $H \circ pr_2 : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^N, (0,0) \to \mathbb{C}, 0$ for $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{V}, (0,0)$, where pr_2 denotes projection onto the second factor $\mathbb{C}^N, 0$. These diffeomorphisms not only preserve $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{V}$, but also $\mathbb{C}^n \times F$ for F a Milnor fiber of \mathcal{V} .

We further consider a subcategory of singularities of type \mathcal{V} where the germ f_0 is transverse to \mathcal{V} on the complement of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n . Transversality can be either in a geometric sense of transversality to the canonical Whitney stratification of \mathcal{V} or in an algebraic sense using the module of logarithmic vector fields (see [D1]) and these agree if \mathcal{V} is holonomic in the sense of Saito [Sa]. In these cases the corresponding germ is finitely $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -determined. These singularities and the corresponding morphisms between them give a subcategory of "finitely determined singularities of type \mathcal{V} ".

In analyzing the topology of such singularities \mathcal{V}_0 there are three contributions:

- a) the contribution from the topology of the germ f_0 and its geometric interaction with \mathcal{V} ;
- b) the contribution from the topology of \mathcal{V} ;
- c) the interaction between these two contributions combining to give the topology of \mathcal{V}_0 .

For a), there have been results introduced for discriminants of finitely determined mappings and more generally finitely determined nonlinear sections of free divisors and complete intersections in [DM] and [D1], and of the varieties of $m \times m$ matrices in [GM] and [DP3], using a stabilization of the mapping to obtain a "singular Milnor fiber" homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of spheres, with the number of such spheres computed algebraically. However, this provides no information about b). The characteristic cohomology which we will introduce will specifically address b) and provide complementary information to that given for a). We briefly indicate in §4 how these two contributions combine for c).

Characteristic Cohomology on the Category of Singularities of Type \mathcal{V} .

We begin with the definition for the Milnor fiber in the case $\mathcal{V}, 0$ is a hypersurface singularity.

Characteristic Cohomology $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$.

Let $f_0: \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ define the singularity \mathcal{V}_0 . For \mathcal{V} there exists $0 < \eta <<\delta$ such that for balls $B_\eta \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $B_\delta \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ (with all balls centered 0), we let $\mathcal{F}_\delta = H^{-1}(B^*_\eta) \cap B_\delta$ so $H: \mathcal{F}_\delta \to B^*_\eta$ is the Milnor fibration of H, with Milnor fiber $F_w = H^{-1}(w) \cap B_{\delta}$ for each $w \in B_{\eta}^*$. By continuity, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $f_0(B_{\varepsilon}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\delta}$. By possibly shrinking all three values, $H \circ f_0 : f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\delta}) \cap B_{\varepsilon} \to B_{\eta}^*$ is the Milnor fibration of $H \circ f_0$. Then, the Milnor fiber of $H \circ f_0$ for $w \in B_{\eta}^*$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_w = (H \circ f_0)^{-1}(w) \cap B_{\varepsilon} = f_0^{-1}(F_w) \cap B_{\varepsilon}.$$

Thus, if we denote $f_0|\mathcal{V}_w = f_{0,w}$, then in cohomology with coefficient ring R, $f_{0,w}^* : H^*(F_w; R) \to H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R)$. we let

(1.2)
$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R) \stackrel{def}{=} f^*_{0,w}(H^*(F_w; R)),$$

We formally define the characteristic cohomology of the Milnor fiber.

Definition 1.1. Let $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ define $\mathcal{V}_0 = f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. We define the *characteristic cohomology subalgebra of the Milnor fiber* of \mathcal{V}_0 , to be cohomology subalgebra of the Milnor fiber $H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R)$ of \mathcal{V}_0 given by (1.2).

Independence of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ on the Milnor Fiber under Cohomology Isomorphism.

Given another $w' \in B_{\eta}^*$, let $\gamma(t)$ denote a simple path in B_{η}^* from w to w'. We may first lift $\gamma(t)$ to an isotopy $\Phi_t : F_w \to F_{\gamma(t)}$ of the restriction of the Milnor fibration from F_w to $F_{w'}$. We can also lift $\gamma(t)$ to an isotopy $\Psi_t : \mathcal{V}_w \to \mathcal{V}_{\gamma(t)}$ of the restriction of the Milnor fibration from \mathcal{V}_w to $\mathcal{V}_{w'}$. Then, $\Phi_t^{-1} \circ f_0 \circ \Psi_t : \mathcal{V}_w \to F_w$ defines a homotopy from $f_{0,w}$ to $\Phi_1^{-1} \circ f_{0,w'} \circ \Psi_1$. Thus, $f_{0,w}^* = \Psi_1^* \circ f_{0,w'}^* \circ \Phi_1^{*-1}$. Then, $\Phi_1^{*-1} : H^*(F_{w'}; R) \simeq H^*(F_w; R)$, and $\Psi_1^* : H^*(\mathcal{V}_{w'}; R) \simeq H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R)$. Hence, $f_{0,w'}^*(H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R))$ is mapped under the cohomology algebra isomorphism Ψ_1^* to $f_{0,w}^*(H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R))$. Thus, Ψ_1^* maps the characteristic cohomology for the Milnor fiber of \mathcal{V}_w to that of $\mathcal{V}_{w'}$.

We also remark that if we consider a second set of values $0 < \eta' < \eta$, $0 < \delta' < \delta$, and $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ for the Milnor fibers of H and $H \circ f_0$, and choose $w \in B^*_{\eta'}$ so that the Minor fiber \mathcal{V}'_w is transverse to the spheres $S^{2n-1}_{\varepsilon''}$ for $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon'' < \varepsilon$, then $i_w : \mathcal{V}'_w \subset \mathcal{V}_w$ is a homotopy equivalence so the characteristic cohomology for \mathcal{V}'_w is mapped isomorphically to that of \mathcal{V}_w . Hence, the characteristic cohomology is welldefined independent of the Milnor fiber up to Milnor fiber cohomology isomorphism. When we want to refer to the characteristic cohomology at more than one point $w \in B^*_{\eta}$, we use the notation $\mathcal{A}(f_0, R)_w$ to denote the representative in the Milnor fiber cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R)$.

Remark 1.2. We consider two consequences of the above arguments. First, if we choose a convex neighborhood $w \in U \subset B_{\eta}^{*}$, then as the paths in U between w and any other w' are homotopic, it follows that the induced diffeomorphisms between the Milnor fibers \mathcal{V}_{w} and $\mathcal{V}_{w'}$, resp. F_{w} and $F_{w'}$, are homotopic so the algebra isomorphisms between the cohomology of the Milnor fibers over U is well-defined. This gives a local trivialization of the unions $\bigcup_{w' \in U} \mathcal{A}(f_0, R)_{w'}$, resp. $\bigcup_{w' \in U} H^*(\mathcal{V}_{w'}; R)$. On overlaps of two such neighborhoods the transition isomorphisms are constant. Together they give a locally constant system on B_{η}^{*} . Second, if $\gamma(t)$ is a simple loop in B_{η}^{*} from w around 0, then the preceding arguments show the monodromy will map the characteristic cohomology to itself. Thus, the characteristic cohomology inherits two properties from the Milnor fiber cohomology. In this paper we will not attempt to make use of these additional properties.

Characteristic Cohomology $C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$.

We next introduce the characteristic cohomology of the complement of \mathcal{V}_0 in the case where $\mathcal{V}, 0$ need not be a hypersurface singularity. This proceeds somewhat analogously to the case of Milnor fibers. Let $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ define $\mathcal{V}_0 = f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. Then, we consider a representative $\tilde{f}_0 : U \to W$ for which \mathcal{V} also has a representative on W, and we still denote the representative by \mathcal{V} . Then, $\tilde{f}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is a representative for \mathcal{V}_0 which we still denote by \mathcal{V}_0 . Then, by stratification theory (see e.g. Mather [M1], [M2] or Gibson et al [GDW]), there are $0 < \delta_0, \varepsilon_0$ so that for $0 < \delta' < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$:

- i) $\overline{B}_{\delta_0} \subset W$ and $\overline{B}_{\varepsilon_0} \subset U$,
- ii) $\partial \overline{B}_{\delta}$ is transverse to \mathcal{V} and $\partial \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}$ is transverse to \mathcal{V}_0 .
- iii) $\mathcal{V}_0 \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon'}$ is ambiently homeomorphic to the cone on $\mathcal{V}_0 \cap \partial \overline{B}_{\varepsilon'}$, as is $\mathcal{V} \cap \overline{B}_{\delta'}$ ambiently homeomorphic to the cone on $\mathcal{V} \cap \partial \overline{B}_{\delta'}$, and
- iv) the inclusions of pairs

$$(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon'}, \mathcal{V}_0 \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon'}) \hookrightarrow (\overline{B}_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{V}_0 \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon})$$

and

$$(\overline{B}_{\delta'}, \mathcal{V} \cap \overline{B}_{\delta'}) \hookrightarrow (\overline{B}_{\delta}, \mathcal{V} \cap \overline{B}_{\delta}),$$

are homotopy equivalences.

Thus, if $f_0(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon'}) \subset B_{\delta'}$, and $f_0(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon}) \subset B_{\delta}$, then there is the commutative diagram

(1.3)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta} \backslash \mathcal{V}; R) & \stackrel{f_0^*}{\longrightarrow} & H^*(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \backslash \mathcal{V}_0; R) \\ \simeq & \downarrow & \simeq & \downarrow \\ H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta'} \backslash \mathcal{V}; R) & \stackrel{f_0^*}{\longrightarrow} & H^*(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon'} \backslash \mathcal{V}_0; R) \end{array}$$

and the vertical maps are isomorphisms by property (iv). Thus, via the vertical isomorphisms, the induced homomorphisms $f_0^* : H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}; R) \to H^*(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; R)$ are independent of $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $0 < \delta < \delta_0$. Hence, the induced isomorphisms $f_0^*(H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}; R)) \simeq f_0^*(H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta'} \setminus \mathcal{V}; R))$ yield an inverse system with limit isomorphic to each of these groups, giving a well-defined cohomology subalgebra.

Definition 1.3. Let $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ define $\mathcal{V}_0 = f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. We define the *characteristic cohomology (algebra) of the complement* of \mathcal{V}_0 , to be cohomology subalgebra which is the direct limit

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \stackrel{def}{=} \lim_{\longrightarrow} f_0^*(H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}; R)).$$

We note that this cohomology is really in local cohomology of the complement, but it is given by the complement in sufficient small neighborhoods.

Just as for complements, singularities \mathcal{V}_0 of type \mathcal{V} also have characteristic cohomology for the link.

Characteristic Cohomology $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$.

We use the same notation as above for the complement where again $\mathcal{V}, 0$ need not be a hypersurface singularity. In this case, we consider $R = \mathbf{k}$, a field of characteristic 0. By the conical structure for the pair $(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon}, \overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathcal{V}_0)$, it follows that the inclusion $j_{\varepsilon} : (S_{\varepsilon}^{2n-1} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0) \subset \overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0$ is a homotopy equivalence. Thus, $j_{\varepsilon}^* : H^*(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k}) \simeq H^*(S_{\varepsilon}^{2n-1} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k})$ is an isomorphism.

 $\mathbf{6}$

For each $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there is the Kronecker dual graded subgroup of

$$j_{\varepsilon}^* \circ f_0^*(H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta} \backslash \mathcal{V}; \mathbf{k})) \ \subset \ H^*(S_{\varepsilon}^{2n-1} \backslash \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k}) \,,$$

which we denote by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k}) \subset H_*(S_{\varepsilon}^{2n-1} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k})$. We note that for the Kronecker pairing we may choose a dual basis for $H_*(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k})$ that extends a basis for $j_{\varepsilon}^* \circ f_0^*(H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}; R))$, so it is dually paired to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k})$.

Then, we can apply a form of Alexander duality for subspaces of spheres, [Ma, Chap. XIV, Thm 6.6] or see e.g. [D3, Prop. 1.9]. For $L(\mathcal{V}_0) = S_{\varepsilon}^{2n-1} \cap \mathcal{V}_0$, the link of \mathcal{V}_0 ,

(1.4)
$$\alpha: \widetilde{H}^{j}(L(\mathcal{V}_{0}); \mathbf{k}) \simeq \widetilde{H}_{2n-2-j}(S_{\varepsilon}^{2n-1} \setminus L(\mathcal{V}_{0}); \mathbf{k}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{j}$$

Then, if $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k})$ denotes the corresponding reduced homology obtained by removing H_0 from $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k})$, then we define the characteristic cohomology for the link.

Definition 1.4. Let $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to M, 0$ define $\mathcal{V}_0 = f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. We define the *charac*teristic cohomology of the link of \mathcal{V}_0 , to be

(1.5)
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k}) \stackrel{def}{=} \alpha^{-1}(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0); \mathbf{k})$$

Since the definition in (1.5) is independent, up to isomorphism, of ε , this gives a well-defined graded cohomology subgroup in the cohomology of the link. However, because of the use of Alexander duality, this is not a subalgebra as is the case for the Milnor fiber and the complement. Also, the actual subgroup does depend upon the choice of basis for the Kronecker pairing; however, we still obtain subspaces in each degree whose dimensions are independent of choices.

Remark 1.5. On first glance it might seem that it would be more natural to define the characteristic cohomology of the link to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \stackrel{def}{=} \lim_{\to} f_0^*(H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{V}; R)).$$

However, we shall see in Part II [D6] that this subgroup of the cohomology of the link does not capture the directly identifiable cohomology in $H^*(L(\mathcal{V}_0), R)$. Specifically this cohomology will lie above the middle dimension, while theorems such as the Le-Hamm Local Lefschetz Theorem, see e.g. [HL] or [GMc, Part 2, §1.2, Thm 1], when they are applicable only concern dimensions below the middle dimension.

Functoriality of Characteristic Cohomology $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$.

We complete this section by establishing the functoriality of both $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ on the category of singularities of type \mathcal{V} .

Lemma 1.6. Given $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ defining $\mathcal{V}, 0$ and $g_0 : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ defining $\mathcal{W}, 0$ both of type $\mathcal{V}, 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ with a morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{W}_0, 0 \to \mathcal{V}_0, 0$ defined by $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^n, 0$. Then, φ induces the algebra homomorphisms $\tilde{\varphi}^* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^* : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$. Moreover, both $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ are functorial.

Then, we shall let $\varphi^* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$ and $\varphi^* : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$ denote the induced algebra homomorphisms defined by $\tilde{\varphi}^*$.

Proof. We begin by showing that $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ gives a well-defined homomorphism between the algebras in each case. We consider $0 < \eta < < \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1, \delta$ so that:

- i) $\varphi(B_{\varepsilon_2}) \subset B_{\varepsilon_1}, f_0(B_{\varepsilon_1}) \subset B_{\delta}$, and $H(B_{\delta}) \subset B_{\eta}$; and
- ii) $H : H^{-1}(B_{\eta}^{*}) \cap B_{\delta} \to B_{\eta}^{*}$ is the Milnor fibration for H; $H \circ f_{0} : (H \circ f_{0})^{-1}(B_{\eta}^{*}) \cap B_{\varepsilon_{1}} \cap \to B_{\eta}^{*}$ is the Milnor fibration for $H \circ f_{0}$; and $H \circ f_{0} \circ \tilde{\varphi} : (H \circ f_{0} \circ \tilde{\varphi})^{-1}(B_{\eta}^{*}) \cap B_{\varepsilon_{2}} \cap \to B_{\eta}^{*}$ is the Milnor fibration for $H \circ f_{0} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = H \circ g_{0}$. en, for $w \in B_{\tau}^{*}$ we have the induced maps for the cohomology of the Milnor

Then, for $w \in B^*_\eta$ we have the induced maps for the cohomology of the Milnor fibers

(1.6)
$$H^*(F_w; R) \xrightarrow{f_{0,w}^*} H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_w^*} H^*(\mathcal{S}_w; R) .$$

Then the composition in (1.6) is

(1.7)
$$H^*(F_w; R) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_w^* \circ f_0^* w} H^*(\mathcal{S}_w; R)$$

The image of this composition in (1.7) defines $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$ and factors through (1.8). Hence, $\tilde{\varphi}_w^*$ induces a well-defined map $\tilde{\varphi}^* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$.

(1.8)
$$H^*(F_w; R) \xrightarrow{f_0^* w} H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R) .$$

For functoriality, we include a third singularity \mathcal{Z}_0 of type \mathcal{V} given by $h_0: \mathbb{C}^r, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ such that there is a map germ $\tilde{\psi}: \mathbb{C}^r, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^s, 0$ so that $g_0 \circ \tilde{\psi} = h_0$. Then, choosing an additional $0 < \eta << \varepsilon_3$ so that $\tilde{\psi}(B_{\varepsilon_3}) \subset B_{\varepsilon_2}$, and $H \circ f_0 \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\psi} : (H \circ f_0 \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\psi})^{-1}(B_\eta^*) \cap B_{\varepsilon_3} \cap \to B_\eta^*$ is the Milnor fibration for $H \circ f_0 \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\psi} = H \circ h_0$. Then, by functoriality in cohomology, $\tilde{\psi}$ maps the image in (1.7) to $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_w; R)$, for \mathcal{Z}_w the Milnor fiber of $H \circ h_0$ over w, and $(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\psi})^* = \tilde{\psi}^* \circ \tilde{\varphi}^*$. Hence, using our notation for the induced maps on characteristic cohomology, $(\varphi \circ \psi)^* = \psi^* \circ \varphi^*$.

For $C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$, $C_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$, and $C_{\mathcal{V}}(h_0, R)$, the proof is similar, except we replace the Milnor fibers by the complements $B_{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}$, resp. $B_{\varepsilon_1} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0$, resp. $B_{\varepsilon_2} \setminus \mathcal{W}_0$, resp. $B_{\varepsilon_3} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_0$ and consider the induced maps in cohomology of these complements by f_0^* , resp. $\tilde{\varphi}^*$, resp. $\tilde{\psi}^*$ and their compositions.

One immediate consequence of functoriality is the detection of the nonvanishing characteristic cohomology. We note for the identity map $id : \mathbb{C}^N, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(id, R)_w = H^*(F_w; R)$. With the above notation for a morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{W}_0, 0 \to \mathcal{V}_0, 0$ defined by $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{C}^p, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^n, 0$ with $\mathcal{V}_0, 0$ defined by $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ and $\mathcal{W}_0, 0$ defined by $g_0 : \mathbb{C}^p, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$. Then, we have the corollary.

Corollary 1.7. If $g_0^* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(id, R) \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$ induces an isomorphism from a graded subgroup $E \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(id, R)$ to a subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0, R)$, then f_0^* induces an isomorphism from E to a subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$.

There is an analogous result for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ and the complement.

Proof. By functoriality, we have for the sequence

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(id,R) \xrightarrow{f_0^*} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0,R) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(g_0,R)$$

the composition is $\varphi^* \circ f_0^* = g_0^*$. As g_0^* maps E isomorphically to its image, so must f_0^* map E isomorphically to its image.

We will see how we can apply this idea in §3 for detecting nonvanishing subalgebras or subgroups of characteristic cohomology, with examples in §4 and with more complete applications for matrix singularities in Part II of this paper.

8

Remark 1.8. Although $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ is not functorial, it does satisfy a relation involving a type of relative Gysin homomorphism, where in place of Poincare duality, Alexander duality is used because the links are not manifolds. For a morphism $\varphi: \mathcal{W}_0, 0 \to \mathcal{V}_0, 0$ defined by $\tilde{\varphi}$ we have a map for sufficiently small $0 < \eta << \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1$ so that $\tilde{\varphi}(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon_2}) \subset B_{\varepsilon_1}$ and $f_0(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon_1}) \subset B_{\delta}$. Then,

$$\widetilde{H}^{j}(S^{2s-1}_{\varepsilon_{2}} \cap \mathcal{W}_{0}; \mathbf{k}) \stackrel{\alpha}{\simeq} \widetilde{H}_{2s-2-j}(S^{2s-1}_{\varepsilon_{2}} \backslash \mathcal{W}_{0}; \mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{j_{\varepsilon_{2}}*} \widetilde{H}_{2s-2-j}(B_{\varepsilon_{2}} \backslash \mathcal{W}_{0}; \mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_{*}} (1.9)$$

$$\widetilde{H}_{2s-2-j}(B_{\varepsilon_1} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k}) \simeq \widetilde{H}_{2s-2-j}(S_{\varepsilon_1}^{2n-1} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k}) \stackrel{\alpha^{-1}}{\simeq} \widetilde{H}^{2(n-s)+j}(S_{\varepsilon_1}^{2n-2} \cap \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k})$$

The composition in (1.9) yields $\widetilde{H}^{j}(S^{2s-1}_{\varepsilon_{2}} \cap \mathcal{W}_{0}; \mathbf{k}) \to \widetilde{H}^{2(n-s)+j}(S^{2n-2}_{\varepsilon_{1}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{0}; \mathbf{k})$. Then, via the identification for different ε_{i} , we obtain a form of *relative Gysin* homomorphism

(1.10)
$$\varphi_*: \widetilde{H}^j(L(\mathcal{W}_0); \mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{2(n-s)+j}(L(\mathcal{V}_0); \mathbf{k}).$$

Also, by choosing consistent bases for the cohomology, this will induce a Gysin-type homomorphism $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}}(g_0; \mathbf{k}) \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; \mathbf{k})$, which shifts degrees by 2(n-s).

2. \mathcal{K}_H and \mathcal{K}_V Invariance of Characteristic Cohomology

We next turn to the invariance properties of the characteristic cohomology.

Invariance of Characteristic Cohomolgy $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ under \mathcal{K}_H Equivalence.

The dependence of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ on f_0 is clarified by the next proposition.

Proposition 2.1. Suppose $f_i : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0, i = 1, 2$ are \mathcal{K}_H -equivalent. Let F_i , i = 1, 2, denote the Milnor fibers of $H \circ f_i$ for a $w \in B^*_\eta$. Then, for any coefficient ring R, there is a cohomology algebra isomorphism $\alpha : H^*(F_1; R) \simeq H^*(F_2; R)$ such that $\alpha(\mathcal{A}_V(f_1; R)) = \mathcal{A}_V(f_2; R)$.

Hence, the structure of the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of $H \circ f_0$ as a graded algebra (or graded module) over $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_1; R)$ is, up to isomorphism, independent of the \mathcal{K}_H -equivalence class of f_0 .

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By the \mathcal{K}_H -equivalence of the germ $f_i : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$, there are representatives $f_i : U \to W$, for open neighborhoods U and W, and a diffeomorphism onto a subspace

(2.1)
$$\Phi: U' \times W' \to U \times W$$

$$(x,y) \mapsto (\varphi(x),\varphi_1(x,y))$$

sending $(0,0) \mapsto (0,0)$ such that Φ preserves $H \circ pr_2$ for $pr_2 : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^N$ the projection onto the second factor, and so that $f_2(\varphi(x)) = \varphi_1(x, f_1(x))$ for all $x \in U'$. Thus, $\Phi(\operatorname{graph}(f_1)) = \operatorname{graph}(f_2) \cap Im(\Phi)$, and for any Milnor fiber F_w of H, $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n \times F_w) = (\mathbb{C}^n \times F_w) \cap Im(\Phi)$.

We let $g_i = H \circ f_i$. Next we choose $0 < \eta_1 << \delta_1 << \varepsilon_1$ so that

- i) $B_{\delta_1} \subset W';$
- ii) $B_{\varepsilon_1} \subset U';$
- iii) the Milnor fibration of H is given by $H: H^{-1}(B^*_{\eta_1}) \cap B_{\delta_1} \to B^*_{\eta_1}$; and
- iv) the Milnor fibration of each g_i is given by $g_i : g_i^{-1}(B_{\eta_1}^*) \cap B_{\varepsilon_1} \to B_{\eta_1}^*$.

Next, we begin to find a series of $(\eta_i, \delta_i, \varepsilon_i)$ so that:

1)
$$0 < \eta_{j+1} < \eta_j$$
; $0 < \delta_{j+1} < \delta_j$, and $0 < \varepsilon_{j+1} < \varepsilon_j$ and

(2.2)
$$g_i : g_i^{-1}(B_{\eta_{j+1}}^*) \cap B_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} \to B_{\eta_{j+1}}^*$$
 and $H : H^{-1}(B_{\eta_{j+1}}^*) \cap B_{\delta_{j+1}} \to B_{\eta_{j+1}}^*$
are Milnor fibrations for $g_i, i = 1, 2$, resp. H .
2)

(2.3)
$$T_{j+1} \stackrel{def}{=} \Phi(B_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} \times B_{\delta_{j+1}}) \subset B_{\varepsilon_j} \times B_{\delta_j}$$

- 3) $(B_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} \times B_{\delta_{j+1}}) \subset T_j$ (as T_j is an open neighborhood of (0,0)).
- 4) If $\mathcal{V}_{w}^{(i,j)}$ denotes the Milnor fiber of $g_i : g_i^{-1}(B_{\eta_j}^*) \cap B_{\varepsilon_j} \to B_{\eta_j}^*$, then for $w \in B_{\eta_{j+1}}^*$ the inclusions of Milnor fibers in (2.4) are homotopy equivalences.

(2.4)
$$\mathcal{V}_w^{(i,j+1)} \subset \mathcal{V}_w^{(i,j)};$$

5) We repeat these steps for $j = 1, \ldots, 4$.

We observe that as both Φ and the graph maps are diffeomorphisms, $\Phi : \mathcal{V}_w^{(i,j)} \simeq \Phi(\operatorname{graph}(f_i)(\mathcal{V}_w^{(i,j)}))$. We choose a $w \in B_{\eta_4}^*$ and let $Y_j = \operatorname{graph}(f_2)((\mathcal{V}_w^{(2,j)}))$ and $Z_j = \Phi(\operatorname{graph}(f_1)((\mathcal{V}_w^{(1,j)})))$. Consider the sequence of inclusions and mapping

(2.5)
$$Z_4 \subset Y_3 \subset Z_2 \subset Y_1 \xrightarrow{H} (H^{-1}(w) \cap B_{\eta_4})$$

Then, for cohomology (with coefficients in R understood)

(2.6)
$$H^*(H^{-1}(w) \cap B_{\eta_4}) \xrightarrow{H^*} H^*(Y_1) \to H^*(Z_2) \to H^*(Y_3) \to H^*(Z_4)$$

Now the composition $H^*(Y_1) \to H^*(Z_2) \to H^*(Y_3)$ is an isomorphism; hence $H^*(Z_2) \to H^*(Y_3)$ is surjective. Second, the composition $H^*(Z_2) \to H^*(Y_3) \to H^*(Z_4)$ is also an isomorphism so $H^*(Z_2) \to H^*(Y_3)$ is one-one. Thus, $H^*(Z_2) \to H^*(Y_3)$ is an isomorphism. Hence, so are the other inclusions isomorphisms.

A similar argument for the Milnor fibers of H for the various j, together with Φ preserving $H^{-1}(w)$ implies that Φ^* induces an isomorphism of the cohomology of the Milnor fiber. Since the map Φ : graph $(f_1)(\mathcal{V}_w^{(1,2)}) \to \operatorname{graph}(f_2)(\mathcal{V}_w^{(2,1)})$ commutes with H, we deduce that the induced isomorphism from Φ^* preserves the subalgebra $pr_2^*(H^*(H^{-1}(w)) \cap B_\delta)$. By the isomorphism on cohomology via graph $(f_i)^*$, we obtain the preservation of the characteristic subalgebra.

Remark 2.2. We can apply the preceding argument for the sequence of inclusions in (2.5) to conclude Φ : graph $(f_1)(\mathcal{V}^{(1,2)}_w) \to \operatorname{graph}(f_2)(\mathcal{V}^{(2,1)}_w)$ induces an isomorphism for both integer homology and the fundamental group. As the closures of both of these spaces are smooth manifolds with boundaries and hence have CWcomplex structures, it follows by the Hurewicz and Whitehead theorems that the restriction of Φ is a homotopy equivalence.

Invariance of Characteristic Cohomology $C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ and $C_{\mathcal{B}}(f_0; R)$ under $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ Equivalence.

In analogy with Proposition 2.1, the dependence of $C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ on the $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -equivalence class of f_0 is given by the next proposition.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose $f_i : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0, i = 1, 2$ are $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -equivalent. Let $\mathcal{V}_i = f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. Then, for any coefficient ring R, there is a cohomology algebra isomorphism $\beta : H^*(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathcal{V}_1; R) \simeq H^*(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathcal{V}_2; R)$ such that $\beta(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_1; R)) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_2; R)$.

10

Hence, the structure of the cohomology of the complement $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathcal{V}_i$ as a graded algebra (or graded module) over $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_1; R)$ is, up to isomorphism, independent of the $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -equivalence class of f_i .

Proof. The proof is similar to that for Proposition 2.1, except that the diffeomorphism $\Phi: U' \times W' \to U \times W$ in (2.1) only preserves $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{V}$.

Then, for links we have a corresponding result provided the coefficient ring $R = \mathbf{k}$, a field of characteristic 0.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose $f_i : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0, i = 1, 2$ are $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -equivalent. Let $\mathcal{V}_i = f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. Then, there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces $\beta : H^*(L(\mathcal{V}_1); \mathbf{k}) \simeq H^*(L(\mathcal{V}_2); \mathbf{k})$ such that $\beta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_1; \mathbf{k})) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_2; \mathbf{k})$.

Hence, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_i; \mathbf{k})$ is, up to isomorphism, independent of the $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -equivalence class of f_i .

Proof. The diffeomorphism $\Phi : U' \times W' \to U \times W$ induces diffeomorphisms graph $(f_i) \cap \mathcal{V}$ and graph $(f_i) \setminus \mathcal{V}$. These induce diffeomorphisms $U \cap \mathcal{V}_1 \simeq U' \cap \mathcal{V}_2$ and $U \setminus \mathcal{V}_1 \simeq U' \setminus \mathcal{V}_2$. These first induce isomorphisms $H^*(U' \setminus \mathcal{V}_2; \mathbf{k}) \simeq H^*(U \setminus \mathcal{V}_1; \mathbf{k})$. This continues to hold for sufficiently small balls using the argument in the proof of Proposition 2.1. As the homeomorphisms commute with f_i^* , we obtain the restriction isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_1; \mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_2; \mathbf{k})$.

Then, by choosing corresponding bases for these cohomology groups we obtain via the Kronecker pairings, isomorphisms with the homology groups of the complements. Then, associated to the isomorphisms between the $C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_i; \mathbf{k})$, there is an induced isomorphism in reduced homology $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_1; \mathbf{k}) \simeq \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_2; \mathbf{k})$. Lastly, Alexander duality induces isomorphisms of graded vector spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_1; \mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_2; \mathbf{k})$. \Box

3. Detecting the Nonvanishing of Characteristic Cohomology

We next ask for a singularity \mathcal{V}_0 of type \mathcal{V} , what will be the nonvanishing parts of the characteristic subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ and the characteristic cohomology $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$? For the Milnor fiber, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ is isomorphic to a quotient algebra of $H^*(F_w; R)$, but possibly it is just $H^0(\mathcal{V}_w; R)$. Similarly, for the complement $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$, it is isomorphic to a quotient of $H^*(\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathcal{V}; R)$; and then we can determine a nonzero subgroup in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0; R)$ via Alexander duality.

We give a general method for detecting such non-zero subgroups of characteristic cohomology using "vanishing compact models" for both the Milnor fiber and complement.

Nonvanishing Characteristic Cohomology for the Milnor Fiber.

We consider a hypersurface singularity $\mathcal{V}, 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ with defining equation $H : \mathbb{C}^N, 0 \to \mathbb{C}, 0$ and Milnor fibration $H : H^{-1}(B^*_\eta) \cap B_{\delta_0} \to B^*_\eta$.

Definition 3.1. We say that $\mathcal{V}, 0$ has a vanishing compact model for its Milnor fiber if there is a compact space $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$, smooth curves $\gamma : [0, \eta) \to B_{\eta}$ satisfying $|\gamma(t)| = t$ and $\beta : [0, \eta) \to [0, \delta_0)$, monotonic with $\beta(0) = 0$, and an embedding into the Milnor fibration of H,

 $\Phi: Q_{\mathcal{V}} \times (0, \delta) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(B_{\eta}^*) \cap B_{\delta}$ such that:

- i) each $H: H^{-1}(\overline{B}^*_{|\gamma(t)|}) \cap B_{\beta(t)} \to \overline{B}^*_{|\gamma(t)|}$ is again a Milnor fibration for H
- ii) each $\Phi(Q_{\mathcal{V}} \times \{t\}) \subset F_w$ is a homotopy equivalence for F_w the Milnor fiber of i) over $w = \gamma(t)$.

This is the analogue of a basis of smoothly vanishing cycles for the isolated hypersurface case.

Next, with the situation as above, let $E \subseteq H^*(Q_{\mathcal{V}}; R)$ be a graded subgroup. We say that a compact subspace with inclusion map $\lambda_E : Q_E \subseteq Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ detects E in cohomology with R coefficients if the map on cohomology $\lambda_E^* : H^*(Q_{\mathcal{V}}; R) \to H^*(Q_E; R)$ induces an isomorphism from E to $H^*(Q_E; R)$. Then, we say that a germ of an embedding $i_E : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ detects E if for sufficiently small $0 < \eta < < \varepsilon < \delta$ there is a vanishing compact model $\Psi : Q_E \times (0, \delta) \hookrightarrow (H \circ i_E)^{-1}(B_{\eta}^*) \cap B_{\varepsilon}$ for the Milnor fibration of $H \circ i_E$ so that $i_E \circ \Psi = \Phi \circ (\lambda_E \times id)$, i.e. (3.1) commutes.

$$(3.1) \qquad \begin{array}{c} Q_E \times (0,\delta) & \stackrel{\Psi}{\longrightarrow} & (H \circ i_E)^{-1} (B^*_\eta) \cap B_\delta \\ \lambda_E \times id \downarrow & i_E \downarrow \\ Q_{\mathcal{V}} \times (0,\delta) & \stackrel{\Phi}{\longrightarrow} & H^{-1} (B^*_\eta) \cap B_\delta \end{array}$$

We then have the simple Lemma.

Lemma 3.2 (Detection Lemma for Milnor Fibers). Given $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ defining $(\mathcal{V}_0, 0)$ of type \mathcal{V} , suppose there is a germ $g : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^n, 0$ such that $f_0 \circ g$ is \mathcal{K}_H -equivalent to a germ detecting E. Then, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ contains a graded subgroup which is isomorphic to E via $f_0^* : H^*(F_w; R) \to H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R)$ to E.

Proof. We use the functoriality of $g^* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0 \circ g, R)$ given by Lemma 1.6. We do so using the representation by (1.6), with $\tilde{\varphi}$ representing g; and we first consider the case where the composition $f_0 \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ denotes $f_0 \circ g = i_E$. Provided $w = \gamma(t)$, with $0 < |w| < \eta$ is sufficiently small, there is the compact model $\Phi(Q_{\mathcal{V}} \times \{t\}) \subset F_w$. The composition gives as the embedding $i_E : (Q_E \times \{t\}) \subset (Q_{\mathcal{V}} \times \{t\}) \subset F_w$. In cohomology it maps $E \subseteq H^*(F_w; R)$ isomorphically to $H^*(Q_E \times \{t\}; R) \simeq$ $H^*(Q_E; R)$.

Then, if we compose the corresponding version of (1.6), the map on cohomology factors through $(Q_E \times \{t\}) \subset S_w$ (for S_w the Milnor fiber of $H \circ i_E$). It will then send $E \subseteq H^*(F_w; R)$ isomorphically to the subgroup of the intermediate cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R)$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ contains this isomorphic copy of E via $\tilde{f}_{0,w}$.

Second, if instead $f_0 \circ g$ is \mathcal{K}_H -equivalent to i_E , by Proposition 2.1, there is an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(i_E, R) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0 \circ g, R)$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0 \circ g, R)$ contains a subspace isomorphic under an algebra isomorphism to E. Since this subspace is, up to an algebra isomorphism, the image of g^* of the image of $\tilde{f}^*_{0,w}(E)$ that image must be an isomorphic image of E.

Nonvanishing Characteristic Cohomology for the Complement and Link.

With the above notation, we consider the characteristic cohomology of the complement and link. We use the notation and neighborhoods given in the definition of the characteristic cohomology for the complement and link in §1 for $f_0(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon_0}) \subset B_{\delta_0}$. Then,

$$f_0^*: H^*(\overline{B}_{\delta_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}; R) \longrightarrow H^*(\overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; R)$$

We introduce a corresponding vanishing compact model for the complement.

Definition 3.3. We say that $\mathcal{V}, 0$ has a vanishing compact model for the complement if there is a compact space $P_{\mathcal{V}}$, a smooth curve $\gamma : [0, \delta) \to [0, \delta_0)$, monotonic with $\gamma(0) = 0$, and an embedding into the complement of \mathcal{V} , $\Phi: P_{\mathcal{V}} \times (0, \delta) \hookrightarrow B_{\delta_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}$ such that:

- i) each $(\overline{B}_{\gamma(t)}, \overline{B}_{\gamma(t)} \cap \mathcal{V})$ again has a cone structure;
- ii) $(\overline{B}_{\gamma(t')}, \overline{B}_{\gamma(t')} \cap \mathcal{V}) \subset (\overline{B}_{\gamma(t)}, \overline{B}_{\gamma(t)} \cap \mathcal{V})$ is a homotopy equivalence for 0 < t' < t; and
- iii) each $\Phi(P_{\mathcal{V}} \times \{t\}) \subset B_{\gamma(t)} \setminus \mathcal{V}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Next, with the situation as above, let $E \subseteq H^*(P_{\mathcal{V}}; R)$ be a graded subgroup. We say that a compact subspace with inclusion map $\sigma_E : P_E \subseteq P_{\mathcal{V}}$ detects E in cohomology with R coefficients if the map on cohomology $\sigma_E^* : H^*(P_{\mathcal{V}}; R) \to H^*(P_E; R)$ induces an isomorphism from E to $H^*(P_E; R)$. Then, we say that a germ of an embedding $j_E : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ detects E if for sufficiently small $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$ with $i_E(B_{\varepsilon}) \subset B_{\delta}$, there is a vanishing compact model $\Psi : P_E \times (0, \delta) \hookrightarrow B_{\varepsilon} \setminus j_E^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ so that $j_E \circ \Psi = \Phi \circ (\sigma_E \times id)$. We then have the simple Lemma.

Lemma 3.4 (Second Detection Lemma). Given $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ defining $\mathcal{V}_0, 0$ of type \mathcal{V} , suppose there is a germ $g : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^n, 0$ such that $f_0 \circ g$ is $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ equivalent to a germ detecting E. Then, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ contains a graded subgroup which is isomorphic to E via $f_0^* : H^*(B_{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V}; R) \to H^*(B_{\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0); R)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that for Lemma 3.2 using instead the functoriality of $g^* : C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \to C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0 \circ g, R)$ given by Lemma 1.6. As we are really working with local cohomology, we must consider the cohomology groups of complements on varying neighborhoods of 0. By assumption there are vanishing compact models: $\Phi : P_{\mathcal{V}} \times (0, \delta) \hookrightarrow B_{\delta_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}$ and $\Psi : P_E \times (0, \delta) \hookrightarrow B_{\varepsilon} \setminus i_E^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ so that $j_E \circ \Psi = \Phi \circ (\sigma_E \times id)$.

Provided $0 < \gamma(t) < \delta$ for δ sufficiently small, there is the compact model $\Phi(P_{\mathcal{V}} \times \{t\}) \subset B_{\gamma(t)} \setminus \mathcal{V}$. The composition $j_E : (P_E \times \{t\}) \subset (P_{\mathcal{V}} \times \{t\})$ is an embedding which in cohomology maps $E \subseteq H^*(P_{\mathcal{V}} \times \{t\}; R)$ isomorphically to $H^*(P_E \times \{t\}; R) \simeq H^*(P_E; R)$.

Then, we refer to corresponding version of (1.6) with $\tilde{\varphi}$ representing g and the composition $f_0 \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ denoting $f_0 \circ g = j_E$. We see that this composition further composed with the map on cohomology induced from $(P_E \times \{t\}) \subset B_{\varepsilon} \setminus i_E^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ will then send $E \subseteq H^*(B_{\delta_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}; R)$ isomorphically to the graded subgroup of the intermediate cohomology $H^*(B_{\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; R)$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ contains this isomorphic copy of E via f_0^* .

Also, if instead $f_0 \circ g$ is \mathcal{K}_H -equivalent to i_E , by Proposition 2.1, there is an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(i_E, R) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0 \circ g, R)$. Then, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0 \circ g, R)$ contains a subspace isomorphic under an algebra isomorphism to E. Since this subspace is, up to an algebra isomorphism, the image by g^* of the image of $f_0^*(E)$, that image must be the isomorphic image of E.

Corollary 3.5. Given $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ defining $\mathcal{V}_0, 0$ of type \mathcal{V} , suppose there is a germ $g : \mathbb{C}^s, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^n, 0$ such that $f_0 \circ g$ is $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -equivalent to a germ detecting $E \subseteq \widetilde{H}^*(\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathcal{V}; \mathbf{k})$, for \mathbf{k} a field of characteristic 0. Then, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbf{k})$ contains a graded subgroup which is isomorphic via the Kronecker pairing and Alexander duality to the image of E via the isomorphisms $\widetilde{H}^j(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k}) \simeq \widetilde{H}^{2n-2-j}(S_{\varepsilon}^{2n-1} \cap \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbf{k}).$

Proof. This is a consequence of the Second Detection Lemma 3.4 and the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbf{k})$ via the Kronecker pairing and Alexander duality.

4. Module Structure over Characteristic Cohomology for the Cohomology of Milnor Fibers

In the hypersurface case we can consider the module structure of the cohomology of the Milnor fiber over the characteristic cohomology subalgebra.

We first consider two examples at the opposite extremes for matrix singularities Let $\mathcal{V}_0 = f_0^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ be defined by $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ for $\mathcal{V}, 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^N, 0$. We illustrate how the characteristic subalgebra together with the topology of the "singular Milnor fiber" of f_0 contributes to the Milnor fiber cohomology, including the module structure, of \mathcal{V}_0 .

Example 4.1. There are two cases at opposite extremes for singularities defined by $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ which is transverse off 0 to \mathcal{V} . These are either $n < \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{V}))$ versus f_0 is the germ of a submersion. In the first case, when $n < k = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{V}))$, then \mathcal{V}_0 has an isolated singularity, and the singular Milnor fiber for f_0 is diffeomorphic to the Milnor fiber for \mathcal{V}_0 , so the Milnor number of \mathcal{V} and the singular Milnor number of f_0 agree. Also, by the result of Kato-Matsumoto [KMs] the Milnor fiber of \mathcal{V} is N - 2 - (N - k) = k - 2 connected. Thus, $\mathcal{A}^{(*)}(f_0, R) = H^0(\mathcal{V}_w; R) \simeq R$. As the Minor fiber is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex of real dimension n - 1, the corresponding classes which occur for the Milnor fiber will have a trivial module structure over $\mathcal{A}^{(*)}(f_0, R)$.

Second, if f_0 is the germ of a submersion, then the Milnor fiber has the form $F_w \times \mathbb{C}^k$, where F_w is the Milnor fiber of \mathcal{V} for k = n - N. Thus, the Milnor fiber of \mathcal{V}_0 has the same cohomology as F_w . We conclude that $f_0^* : H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R) \simeq H^*(F_w; R)$, or $\mathcal{A}^{(*)}(f_0, R) = H^*(F_w; R)$. Also, there are no singular vanishing cycles.

Thus, for these two cases there is the following expression for the cohomology of the Milnor fiber, where the second summand has trivial module structure shifted by degree n - 1.

(4.1)
$$H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R) \simeq \mathcal{A}^{(*)}(f_0, R) \oplus R^{\mu}[n-1]$$

where $\mu = \mu_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0)$ denotes the singular Milnor number for the corresponding hypersurface \mathcal{V}_0 .

Question: We ask how must (4.1) be modified for general singularities of given types?

If R is a field of characteristic 0, then for a general hypersurface singularity we write (4.1) in a more general form as a direct sum.

(4.2)
$$H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R) \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$$

We then may ask several questions about the properties of the summand $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$.

- i) Does $R^{\mu}[n-1]$ for $\mu = \mu_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0)$ occur as a summand?
- ii) Does $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ vanish below degree n-1?
- iii) If i) holds, is there an additional contribution in degree n-1 to $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$?
- iv) If ii) does not hold, can $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ be chosen to be an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ -submodule?

One step in establishing i) is in the case that \mathcal{V} is a hypersurface defined by H, which is H-holonomic; and f_0 has finite \mathcal{K}_H -codimension. By the H-holonomic property, f_0 is transverse to \mathcal{V} in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then, there is a stabilization of f_0 , $f_t: U \to M$ defined for $t \in (-\gamma, \gamma)$ for some $\gamma > 0$, so that for $0 < |t| < \gamma f_t$ is transverse to \mathcal{V} . Since $H \circ f_t$ defines a hypersurface, it satisfies the

Thom condition a_f so for appropriate $0 < \eta \ll \delta$, we can stratify the mapping

$$H \circ f_t | \overline{B}_{\delta} : (H \circ f_t)^{-1} (B_\eta) \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \to B_\eta.$$

Then, the system of tubes for the stratification provide a neighborhood $N_{\mathcal{V}_t}$ of $\mathcal{V}_t = f_t^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap B_{\varepsilon}$ and a retraction onto it (see e.g. [M1], [M2], or [GDW]). Given a Milnor fiber $\mathcal{V}_w = (H \circ f_t)^{-1}(w) \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}$, let π denote the composition of the inclusion and the projection $\mathcal{V}_w \subset N_{\mathcal{V}_t} \to \mathcal{V}_t$. There is an induced homomorphism

(4.3)
$$\pi_* : H_*(\mathcal{V}_w; R) \to H_*(f_t^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}; R).$$

In the case R is a field of characteristic zero as above, then if π_* is surjective, the dual map in cohomology (4.4) is injective.

(4.4)
$$\pi^* : H^*(f_t^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}; R) \to H^*(\mathcal{V}_w; R)$$

Thus, by a result of Damon-Mond [DM], which also holds in the *H*-holonomic case [D1], $f_t^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $\mu = \mu_{\mathcal{V}}$ spheres of dimension n-1. Thus, the injectivity of (4.4) gives the factor $R^{\mu}[n-1]$ in (4.1).

This is just a first step in answering the above questions.

Partial Criterion for (4.2): For the occurrence of $R^{\mu}[n-1]$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ in (4.2) for a finitely \mathcal{K}_H -determined germ it is sufficient that (4.3) is surjective.

For the remaining questions, there are few special cases such as generic central hyperplane arrangements [OR] and generic hypersurface arrangements [Li] where the answer to ii) is positive. However, there are significant additional contributions in degree n - 1 to $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ (see §5).

5. Detecting Characteristic Cohomology for various General Cases

We provide special examples of the general case of singularities of type \mathcal{V} , a hypersurface which represents a "universal singularity type". We summarize below the descriptions of several of the main classes of singularities of given universal singularity types in Table 1. These were mentioned in the introduction and all of them have characteristic cohomology for Milnor fibers (in the hypersurface case), complements, and links. We can ask to what extent the form of the characteristic cohomology has been identified for each of these cases and when can the nonvanishing part be determined? We briefly comment on the cases and their relation with the results here.

Exceptional Orbit Hypersurfaces (yielding special determinantal arrangements): Given a complex representation $\rho: G \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ of a connected linear algebraic group G with open orbit U in \mathbb{C}^N , the union of the orbits of positive codimension form the *exceptional orbit variety* $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$. Such a space was first investigated by Sato [So], also see [SK], and is called a prehomogeneous space (although he referred to \mathcal{V} as the "singular set" which would conflict with our general discussion). If \mathcal{V} is a hypersurface we refer to it as the *exceptional orbit hypersurface*. There are a number of important classes of singularities which arise in this manner.

The varieties of singular $m \times m$ matrices of each type are exceptional orbit hypersurfaces for appropriate representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$. Also the variety of singular $m \times p$ matrices with $m \neq p$ is an exceptional orbit variety for a representation of

JAMES DAMON

Singularity	"Universal Singularity ${\mathcal V}$ "	${\bf Singularities of type} \mathcal{V}$
Type		
Discrimants	Discriminants of Stable	Discriminants of Finitely De-
	Germs	termined Germs
Bifurcation Sets	Bifurcation Sets of \mathcal{G} -Versal	Bifurcation Sets of \mathcal{G} -Finitely
	Unfoldings	Determined Unfoldings
Hyperplane Arrange-	Special Central Hyperplane	Generic Versions of Special
ments	Arrangements	Hyperplane Arrangements
Hypersurface Ar-	Special Central Hyperplane	Hypersuface Arrangements
rangements	Arrangements	of Special Type
Exceptional Orbit	Defined by Linear Algebraic	special types of determinan-
Hypersurfaces	Group Representations with	tal arrangements:
	Open Orbits	
Quiver Discrimi-	Discriminants for Quiver	Discriminants from Map-
nants	Representations of Finite	pings to Quiver Representa-
	Type	tion Spaces
Cholesky-Type Fac-	Discriminants for Cholesky-	Discriminants for Cholesky-
torizations	Type Factorizations	Type Factorizations for Ma-
		trix Families.
Matrix Singularities	Varieties of Singular $m \times m$	Matrix Singularities of any of
	Matrices of Three Types and	these Types
	$m \times p$ Matrices for $m \neq p$	

TABLE 1. Examples of General Cases of Singularities of Given Types.

 $GL_m(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_p(\mathbb{C})$. In part II of this paper we shall extensively study the matrix singularities for the corresponding varieties of singular matrices.

Second, as listed in the tables, the form of the characteristic cohomology has been explicitly determined by the results in [DP] and [D3] for coefficients over a field of characteristics 0. This includes the cases of discriminants of quiver representation spaces of finite type and the discriminants for (modified) Cholesky-type factorizations. Singularities of these types are given by special types of "determinantal arrangements" given in [DP2]. For these cases, compact models for Milnor fibers and complements are given as homogeneous spaces and can be used to define vanishing compact models in [DP]. Then, the tower structures given in [DP2] can be used to give analogous versions of kite maps for these cases which can be used for detection criteria.

Third, in the pioneering work of Buchweitz and Mond, the representation spaces for quivers of finite type were considered in [BM] and identified as prehomogeneous spaces for reductive groups for which the *quiver discriminants*, which are exceptional orbit hypersurfaces, provided a large class of linear free divisors. There are also compact models as given in [D3] which can be used to construct vanishing compact models. Now the restrictions of the Dynkin diagrams and root structures in [BM] need to be employed to define detection maps.

In both cases the details still have to be determined for identifying nonvanishing parts of the characteristic cohomology.

Central Hyperplane and Hypersurface Arrangements :

For a central hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, it follows from the work of Arnold [A], Brieskorn [Br], and Orlik-Solomon [OS] (more generally [OT, Chaps. 3, 5]), there is an explicit description of the cohomology of the complement $H^*(\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathcal{V}; \mathbb{C})$ generated by 1-forms corresponding to each hyperplane with combinatorially defined relations (in fact, by Brieskorn, this holds for coefficients \mathbb{Z} using the \mathbb{Z} subalgebra on these generators). For a central hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by a linear map $f_0 : \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^N, 0$ transverse to \mathcal{V} off $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, it then follows from transversality that the combinatorial conditions up to codimension n-1 continue to hold. It follows that $H^*(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathcal{V}_0; \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbb{C})$. This then allows us to compute $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbb{C})$ by adding relations in degree n-1 and above; and then $H^*(L(\mathcal{V}_0); \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbb{C})$ can be explicitly computed.

In the case that f_0 is nonlinear there is no general result for $C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0,\mathbb{Z})$, although we know the form it has as the image $f_0^*(H^*(B^N_{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{V};\mathbb{Z}))$ for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. The problem for determining this image involves detecting the nonvanishing of the terms. One result is obtained by Libgober [Li] for the case where $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{B}_N$, the Boolean arrangement. The singularities \mathcal{V}_0 are referred to by him as *isolated nonnormal crossings* (INNC) (these are the same as hypersurface arrangements defined by a finitely $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{B}_N}$ -determined germ f_0 [D1]). Then, $\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathcal{B}_N$ is homotopy equivalent to a torus T^N so

$$H^*(\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathcal{B}_N; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Lambda^* \mathbb{Z} < e_1, \dots, e_N > .$$

The result of Libgober [Li, Thm 2.2] gives results for the homotopy groups, which together with the relative Hurewicz Theorem and the universal coefficient theorem, implies that $C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0,\mathbb{Z})$ contains $\Lambda^*\mathbb{Z} < e_1, \ldots, e_N >$ up through degrees $\leq n-2$; while there is not an explicit formula for degree n-1, Libgober does obtain results for this degree using properties of the "characteristic variety of an INNC".

However, there does not exist a general result guaranteeing the nonvanishing of the characteristic cohomology for generic hypersurface arrangements based on a general central hyperplane arrangement. In the case of complexified arrangements, the Salvetti complex, see e.g. [OT, §5.2], provides a compact model for the complement, which then provides a vanishing compact model for the detection method. Hence, detection maps can be defined by linear sections whose images contain appropriate subspaces of the Salvetti complex. If $df_0(0) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^N$ contains a generic k-plane section, then f_0 plays the role of a detection map; and the detection method will imply that $H^*(\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \mathcal{B}_N; \mathbb{Z})$ will map isomorphically in degree < k - 1 onto its image in the characteristic cohomology of the complement.

Milnor Fibers of Hyperplane and Hypersurface Arrangements: For the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of central hyperplane arrangements, there are basically very few results. For central generic arrangements, the cohomology has been determined by Orlik-Randell. The Milnor fiber of B_N has the homotopy type of a torus of dimension N-1 so its cohomology has the form $\Lambda^*\mathbb{Z} < e_1, \ldots, e_{N-1} >$. Orlik Randell [OR, Thm 2.6] show that this maps isomorphically to $H^*(\mathcal{V}_w;\mathbb{Z})$ in degrees < n-1 and in degree n-1 the Betti number is $b_{n-1} = \binom{N-2}{n-2} + N\binom{N-2}{n-1}$. It follows the characteristic cohomology contains all of $\Lambda^*\mathbb{Z} < e_1, \ldots, e_{N-1} >$ up through degree n-2.

There is an analogous result for generic hypersurface arrangements, i.e. INNC, by a result of Libgober [Li, Prop. 4.6] which also implies that the characteristic

cohomology of the Milnor fiber contains all of $\Lambda^*\mathbb{Z} < e_1, \ldots, e_{N-1} > up$ through degree n-2. However, he does not give an explicit formula for b_{n-1} . Both of these results use a covering representation of the Milnor fiber to carry out the computations. This was extended by Cohen-Suciu [CS] to more general hyperplane arrangements; however, their computation involves complexes of chains for local systems on the covering representation. This allows them to compute explicitly the result for certain hyperplane arrangements in dimension ≤ 3 , but there are not general results.

These show that for the generic linear arrangements and hypersurface arrangements the characteristic cohomology for the Milnor fiber occupies all degrees below n-1, so for these cases the answer to question ii) (in §4) is positive. We also ask for the extent of the additional contribution to $W_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$ in (4.2). As B_N is a linear free divisor, we can compute $\mu_{B_N}(f_0)$ using the calculations in [D1, §6]. For the generic hyperplane arrangement case, we have $\mu_{B_N}(f_0) = \binom{N-1}{n}$. Also, in degree n-1, the characteristic cohomology can contribute a subspace of dimension $\binom{N-1}{n-1}$. Then, b_{n-1} can be reexpressed in terms of these two dimensions by: $b_{n-1} = \binom{N-1}{n-1} + n\binom{N-1}{n}$. It follows that if the characteristic cohomology contributes the full amount in degree n-1, then there is still an additional contribution to $W_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, R)$, beyond that from the singular Milnor fiber, of dimension $(n-1)\binom{N-1}{n}$. This says that each singular vanishing cycle contributes n vanishing cycles to the Milnor fiber. This raises the question of how exactly this extra cohomology is realized geometrically.

For a generic hypersurface arrangement $\mathcal{V}_0, 0$ defined by a nonlinear map germ f_0 transverse to $B_N, 0$ off $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, there are less precise results, even though we know the form of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbb{C})$ by the above. To detect nonvanishing contributions to the characteristic cohomology for the Milnor fiber using the method given here, requires vanishing compact models for the Milnor fiber which we do not have.

We are able to give one type of example where we can explicitly see what occurs in cohomology degree n - 1.

Example 5.1. We consider an isolated curve singularity $\mathcal{V}_0, 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^2, 0$ defined by $f = f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdots f_k$ with each f_j defining an isolated curve singularity \mathcal{V}_i , so $\mathcal{V}_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{V}_i$. We can alternately consider \mathcal{V}_0 as a generic hypersurface arrangement defined by $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_k) : \mathbb{C}^2, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^k, 0$ for the Boolean arrangement $\mathcal{B}_k \subset \mathbb{C}^k$. We note that \mathbb{C}^2 lies below the dimension to which the result of Libgober applies.

We can stabilize F to $F_t = (f_{1t}, \ldots, f_{kt}) : U \to \mathbb{C}^k$ so in particular each $\mathcal{V}_{jt} = f_{jt}^{-1}(0) \cap B_{\varepsilon}$ is a Milnor fiber for f_j and the \mathcal{V}_{jt} pairwise intersect transversely. Then, $\mathcal{V}_{0t} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{V}_{jt}$ is the singular Milnor fiber for F. It is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $\mu_{\mathcal{B}_k}(F)$ S^{1} 's. If $I(f_i, f_j)$ denotes the intersection number of \mathcal{V}_{it} and \mathcal{V}_{jt} . A smooth nearby fiber of f close to \mathcal{V}_{0t} adds one vanishing cycle for each intersection point. Thus, the Milnor number of f is given by

(5.1)
$$\mu(f) = \mu_{\mathcal{B}_k}(F) + \sum_{i < j} I(f_i, f_j).$$

Then, \mathcal{B}_k has a Milnor fiber which is homotopy equivalent to a k-1 torus and has the torus as a compact model. Thus, the possible contribution to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_k}(F,\mathbb{Z})$ in dimension 1 would have rank k-1. However, for most examples the sum of intersection numbers considerably exceeds k - 1; thus, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{B}_k}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ must be considerably larger than the contribution from characteristic cohomology. For example if $f = f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot f_3$ with the f_i distinct generic quadrics, then $\mu(f) = 25$, by [D1, §6] $\mu_{\mathcal{B}_3}(F) = 13$, and the sum of intersection numbers is 12; while 3 - 1 = 2. Thus, most of the cohomology in dimension 1 that does not come from the singular Milnor fiber lies outside of the characteristic cohomology.

A basic question then is to determine geometrically what part of the characteristic cohomology exists in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{B}_k}(F,\mathbb{Z})$ and what geometrically accounts for the remainder. Anatoly Libgober indicates that results from [CNL] contribute to answering this question.

Discriminants and Bifurcation Sets :

There are only very limited results for the topological structure of the complement for either discriminants or bifurcation sets. For the stable germs obtained by unfolding simple hypersurface singularities, the complement is a $K(\pi, 1)$ by results of Arnold and Brieskorn. However, this does not continue to be always true for ICIS by H. Knörrer. Also, there is an explicit basis for the cohomology of complements of discriminants of stable A_k -singularities by results of Fuks [Fk], Vainstein [V] and for those of types C, and D for functions on manifolds with boundaries, by Goryunov [G], [G2]. Hence, only for complements of discriminants of finitely determined germs of these types do we have the form for $C_{\mathcal{V}}(f_0, \mathbb{C})$. Otherwise little is known about the characteristic cohomology for these singularities.

Also, there are many different equivalence groups \mathcal{G} in the holomorphic category, allowing additional features to be preserved such as (flags of) distinguished parameters, equivariant germs, diagrams of mappings, distinguished varieties, and restrictions to (flags of) subvarieties, etc. These are geometric subgroups of \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{K} . Then, unfoldings of finitely \mathcal{G} -determined germs are modeled as singularities of type the bifurcation sets of \mathcal{G} -versal unfoldings. These need not always be hypersurfaces; however, in many important cases they are. For virtually all of these, the cohomology of the Milnor fiber (in the hypersurface case) and that of the complement and link is unknown. Hence, even the form of the characteristic cohomology is unknown. Because of such a great variety of possibilities, essentially nothing is known about the topology of bifurcation sets of unfoldings for any of these groups \mathcal{G} .

By contrast, many of the universal singularities have been shown to be (Hholonomic) free divisors, see e.g. the list in [D1] and the additional work in e.g. [GM] and [DP2]. Thus, for these we can compute the singular Milnor number to determine a possible contribution for the Milnor fiber using the results of the previous section.

Hence, all of the list of questions given for matrix singularities still remain to be resolved in these cases.

References

- [A] Arnol'd, V. I. The Cohomology Ring of the Colored Braid Group Mat. Zametki 5, (1969) 227–231, Math. Notes 5 (1969) 138–140.
- [Br] Brieskorn, E. V. Sur les Groupes de Tresses (aprés Arnold), Séminaire Bourbaki (1971/72) Springer Lect. Notes in Math. 317, (1973) 21–44.
- [BM] Buchweitz, R. O. and Mond, D. Linear Free Divisors and Quiver Representations, in Singularities and Computer Algebra, London Math. Soc. Lect. Ser. vol 324 Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006, 41–77.

- [CNL] Cassou-Nogues, P. and Libgober, A. Multivariable Hodge theoretical invariants of germs of plane curves J. Knot Theory Ramifications 20 no. 6 (2011), 787–805.
- [CS] Cohen, D. C. and Suciu, A. On Milnor Fibrations of Arrangements, J. London Math. Soc. 51 no 1, (1995) 105–119
- [D1] Damon, J. Higher Multiplicities and Almost Free Divisors and Complete Intersections, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 123 no 589 (1996).
- [D2] <u>Nonlinear Sections of Non-isolated Complete Intersections</u>, New Developments in Singularity Theory, Eds. D. Siersma, C. T. C. Wall, V. Zakalyukin, Nato Science Series 21, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (2001), 405–445.
- [D3] <u>Topology of Exceptional Orbit Hypersurfaces of Prehomogeneous Spaces</u>, Journal of Topology 9 no. 3 (2016) 797–825.
- [D4] <u>Schubert Decomposition for Milnor Fibers of the Varieties of Singular Matrices</u>, Special Issue in Honor of E. Brieskorn, Journal of Singularities vol 18 (2018) 358–396.
- [D5] <u>Deformations of Sections of Singularities and Gorenstein Surface Singularities</u> Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987) 695–722.
- [D6] _____ Characteristic Cohomology II : Matrix Singularities preprint.
- [DM] Damon, J. and Mond, D. A-Codimension and the Vanishing Topology of Discriminants, Invent. Math. 106 (1991), 217–242.
- [DP] Damon, J. and Pike, B. Solvable Group Representations and Free Divisors whose Complements are $K(\pi, 1)$'s, Proc. Conference on Singularities and Generic Geometry, in Top. and its Appl. **159** (2012) 437–449.
- [DP2] _____ Solvable Groups, Free Divisors and Nonisolated Matrix Singularities I: Towers of Free Divisors, Annales de l'Inst. Fourier 65 no. 3 (2015) 1251–1300.
- [DP3] _____ Solvable Groups, Free Divisors and Nonisolated Matrix Singularities II: Vanishing Topology, Geom. and Top. 18 no. 2 (2014) 911–962.
- [Fk] Fuks, D. B., Cohomology of the braid group mod 2 Funct. Anal. and Appl. 4 (1970) 143-151.
- [F] Frühbis-Krüger, A. On Discriminants, Tjurina Modifications, and the Geometry of Determinantal Singularities, Top. and Appl 234 (2018) 375–396.
- [FZ] Frühbis-Krüger, A. and Zach, M. On the Vanishing Topology of Isolated Cohen-Macaulay codimension 2 Singularities, (2015) preprint: arXiv:1501:01915.
- [GDW] Gibson, C. G., Wirthmüller, K., du Plessis, A. A., and Looijenga, E. J. N. Topological Stability of Smooth Mappings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 552, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [GM] Goryunov, V. and Mond, D. Tjurina and Milnor Numbers of Matrix Singularities, J. London Math. Soc. 72 (2) (2005), 205–224.
- [G] Goryunov, V. V. Cohomologies of groups of braids of series C and D and certain stratifications Funct. Anal. and Appl. 12 (1978) 139–140.
- [G2] Cohomology of braid groups of the series C and D Trans. Moscow Math. Soc No 2 (1982) 233–241.
- [GMc] Goresky, M. and MacPherson, R. Stratified Morse Theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik 14 Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [KMs] Kato, M. and Matsumoto, Y. On the Connectivity of the Milnor Fiber of a Holomorphic Function at a Critical Point, Manifolds-Tokyo 1973 (Proc. Int'l. Conf., Tokyo, 1973), Univ. Tokyo Press (1975) 131–136.
- [HL] Hamm, H. and Lê, D. T. Une Théorème de Zariski du Type de Lefschetz, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 6 no. 4 (1973) 317–355.
- [Li] Libgober, A. Isolated Non-normal Crossings, Real and Complex Singularities, Contemp. Math. 354, Amer. Math. Soc. (2005) 145-160
- [M1] Mather, J. N. Stratifications and Mappings, Dynamical Systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971), Academic Press, New York, 1973, 195-232.
- [M2] _____, Notes on Topological Stability, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **49 no. 4** (2012) 475-506.
- [MT] Mimura, M. and Toda, H. Topology of Lie Groups I and II, Translations of Math. Monographs 91, Amer. Math. Soc. (1991).
- [Ma] Massey, W. A basic Course in Algebraic Topology Springer Graduate texts 127, 1991.
- [OR] Orlik, P. and Randell, R. The Milnor fiber of a generic Arrangement, Ark. Mat. 31, (1993) 71–81.

20

- [OS] Orlik, P. and Solomon, J. Combinatorics and Topology of Complements of Hyperplanes, Invent. Math. 56, (1980) 167–189.
- [OT] Orlik, P. and Terao, H. Arrangements of Hyperplanes, Gründlehren der Math. Wissenshaft 300, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [Sa] Saito, K. Theory of Logarithmic Differential Forms and Logarithmic Vector Fields, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. Math. 27(1980), 265–291.
- [So] Sato, M. Theory of Prehomogeneous Vector Spaces (algebraic part), -English translation of Sato's lectures from notes by T. Shintani, Nagoya Math. J. 120 (1990), 1–34.
- [SK] Sato, M. and Kimura, T. A Classification of Irreducible Prehomogeneous Vector Spaces and Their Relative Invariants, Nagoya Math. Jour. 65, (1977) 1–155.
- [Si] Siersma, D. The Vanishing Topology of Non-isolated Singularities New Developments in Singularity Theory, Eds. D. Siersma, C. T. C. Wall, V. Zakalyukin, Nato Science Series 21, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (2001), 447–472.
- [V] Vainstein, V. The cohomology of braid groups Funct. Anal. and Appl. 12 no. 2 (1978) 139–149.
- Zach, M. An observation concerning the vanishing topology of certain isolated determinantal singularities Math. Zeitschrift, 291(3), (2019) 1263–1293

Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA